Ion sieving in graphene oxide membranes via cationic control of interlayer spacing
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Graphene oxide membranes—partially oxidized, stacked sheets of graphene1—can provide ultrathin, high-flux and energy-efficient membranes for precise ionic and molecular sieving in aqueous solution2–6. These materials have shown potential in a variety of applications, including water desalination and purification7–9, gas and ion separation10–13, biosensors14, proton conductors15, lithium-based batteries16 and super-capacitors17. Unlike the pores of carbon nanotube membranes, which have fixed sizes18–20, the pores of graphene oxide membranes—that is, the interlayer spacing between graphene oxide sheets (a sheet is a single flake inside the membrane)—are of variable size. Furthermore, it is difficult to reduce the interlayer spacing sufficiently to exclude small ions and to maintain this spacing against the tendency of graphene oxide membranes to swell when immersed in aqueous solution21–25. These challenges hinder the potential ion filtration applications of graphene oxide membranes. Here we demonstrate cationic control of the interlayer spacing of graphene oxide membranes with ångström precision using K+, Na+, Ca2+, Li+ or Mg2+ ions. Moreover, membrane spacings controlled by one type of cation can efficiently and selectively exclude other cations that have larger hydrated volumes. First-principles calculations and ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy reveal that the location of the most stable cation adsorption is where oxide groups and aromatic rings coexist. Previous density functional theory computations show that other cations (Fe2+, Co2+, Cu2+, Cd2+, Cr2+ and Pb2+) should have a much stronger cation–π interaction with the graphene sheet than Na+ has26, suggesting that other ions could be used to produce a wider range of interlayer spacings.

There have been previous efforts to tune the interlayer spacing. For example, it can be widened, to increase the permeability of the graphene oxide membrane (GOM), by intercalating large nanomaterials21,22 as well as by cross-linking large and rigid molecules23. Reducing GOMs can lead to a sharp decrease in the interlayer spacing, but renders them highly impermeable to all gases, liquids and aggressive chemicals24,25. Recent work reported a way of sieving ions through GOMs by encapsulating the graphene oxide sheets in epoxy films and varying the relative humidity27 to tune the interlayer spacing. It remains difficult to reduce the interlayer spacing sufficiently (to less than a nanometre) to exclude
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Figure 1 | Interlayer spacings in freestanding cation-controlled GOMs. a, A schematic of how K+ ions in a GOM determine and fix the interlayer spacing such that other cations are rejected while pure water can penetrate. Yellow pillars between the graphene oxide sheets depict the fixation of interlayer spacing by hydrated K+. b, Photograph of a freestanding GOM prepared by drop-casting of a 5 mg ml−1 graphene oxide suspension. c, Interlayer spacings for GOMs immersed in pure water or in various 0.25 mol l−1 (0.25 M) salt solutions. d, Interlayer spacings of GOMs that were soaked in KCl solution, followed by immersion in various salt solutions. Error bars indicate the standard deviation from three different points of a sample.
small ions while still permitting water flow and enabling scalable production. This limits the potential of GOMs for separating ions from bulk solution or for sieving ions of a specific size range from a mixed salt solution—such as the most common ions in sea water and those in the electrolytes of lithium-battery and super-capacitors (Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\) and Li\(^+\)). Here we combine experimental observations and theoretical calculation to show that cations (K\(^+\), Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Li\(^+\) and Mg\(^{2+}\)) themselves can determine and fix the interlayer spacing of GOMs at sizes as small as a nanometre and the variable range of this spacing can be controlled to within one ångström.

Freestanding GOMs were prepared from a graphene oxide suspension via the drop-casting method (see Supplementary Information section PS1). These GOMs were then immersed for one hour in 0.25 mol l\(^{-1}\) (0.25 M) solutions of KCl, NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl and MgCl\(_2\). Next, the GOMs, now saturated with salt solution, were removed and analysed by X-ray diffraction. There were clear shifts of the interlayer spacing (indicated by the Bragg peaks in Supplementary Fig. 3A) relative to the GOMs that had been immersed in pure water. Immersion in pure water resulted in a GOM spacing of 12.8 ± 0.2 Å, consistent with early reports. For ionic solutions the spacings were 11.4 ± 0.1 Å, 12.1 ± 0.2 Å, 12.5 ± 0.2 Å and 13.6 ± 0.1 Å for KCl, NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl and MgCl\(_2\) solutions, respectively (Fig. 1c). Thus, the order from widest to narrowest spacing was MgCl\(_2\) > LiCl > CaCl\(_2\) > NaCl > KCl. The interlayer spacing of 11.4 Å in KCl solution is even smaller than the narrowest previously reported interlayer spacing of GOMs in aqueous solution (about 13 Å). We confirmed these interlayer spacings using two-dimensional synchrotron wide-angle X-ray scattering patterns (details in Supplementary Information section PS3), which showed the same order of ion-controlled interlayer spacing as did our experimental X-ray diffraction results.

In addition, we analysed the solution adsorption of freestanding GOMs treated with different ions. The wet masses of the freestanding GOMs that had been immersed in the KCl, NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl and MgCl\(_2\) solutions after removal of the solutions on the surface by centrifugation were 2.4, 3.6, 3.0, 3.6 and 3.1 times the dry masses of the GOMs treated with NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl and MgCl\(_2\) solutions, respectively (Fig. 2a). There is no clear order for the wet masses for ions of different valences, which we attribute to the existence of ripples in the membranes, allowing different amounts of the salt solution to be adsorbed (details in Supplementary Information section PS3). Following treatment with NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl and MgCl\(_2\) solutions, the dry masses determined after drying the saturated membranes at 60 °C for 6 h were greater than those of the corresponding pristine dry membranes, indicating that the salts are retained within the GOMs to some extent.

However, the dry mass of the KCl-treated membrane was approximately equal to that of the pristine dry membrane (Fig. 2a). This indicates very little penetration of KCl into the GOMs, which was further confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 5). Thus, the GOM immersed in KCl solution rejects most of the K\(^+\) ions but still allows water to penetrate the membrane.

Just one kind of cation can control the interlayer spacing, potentially excluding other cations that require a larger interlayer spacing. Since the KCl solution produced the narrowest interlayer spacing, we first soaked freestanding GOMs in pure water, and subsequently immersed them in KCl solution. We next added other ion solutions of the same concentration. For these mixed solutions, the X-ray diffraction spectra differed only slightly from the spectrum acquired with the pure KCl solution (Supplementary Fig. 3B). The corresponding interlayer spacings were 11.4 ± 0.2 Å, 11.4 ± 0.1 Å, 11.2 ± 0.2 Å and 11.2 ± 0.1 Å, respectively, for KCl + M, where M = NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), LiCl or MgCl\(_2\) (Fig. 1d). Moreover, these interlayer spacings remained consistent over more than 140 h, indicating a stable saturation effect and equilibrium adsorption of cations (Supplementary Fig. 6A). These results clearly demonstrate that K\(^+\) stably and effectively fixed the interlayer spacing at about 11 Å, resulting in the rejection of other cations in mixed solutions (including K\(^+\) itself). We also tested the control of interlayer spacing using NaCl/CaCl\(_2\), finding that Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) also fixed the interlayer spacings and excluded other cations that required larger interlayer spacings (details in Supplementary Information section PS6).

To further demonstrate the controlling effects of cations, we fabricated GOMs supported by ceramic substrates and used them for ion permeation tests. Graphene oxide layers were uniformly deposited on an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) substrate (Fig. 3a). Scanning electron microscope images showed that the resulting thin layered membrane with tuneable thickness was continuous and free of macro pores or defects (Fig. 3b,c), which is critical for a highly efficient separation process. We used GO-750 GOMs, which have a thickness of about 750 nm, in ion permeation tests (Fig. 3d). Untreated GO-750 GOMs showed Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) permeation rates of 0.190 mol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), 0.025 mol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) and 0.019 mol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively. In contrast, KCl-controlled GO-750 membranes showed low Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) permeation rates below the cation detection limits. This demonstrates the ion sieving effect of the KCl-controlled graphene oxide sheets, showing ion rejection of more than 99% relative to untreated GOMs. Here ion rejection refers to the reduction in ion permeation rate. Water could still pass through the KCl-controlled GO-750 membrane, showing a flux of about 0.11 m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) (Supplementary Table 2). Figure 3e shows that a thinner KCl-controlled GOM (GO-280) exhibited a higher water flux of 0.361 m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), and still effectively rejected Na\(^+\), with the permeation rate reduced by a factor of about 150 compared with the untreated GO-280 GOM. Additionally, mixed-ion permeation experiments (Supplementary Figs 9–11) revealed that KCl- and NaCl-controlled
membranes could efficiently reject ions even with a high salt concentration gradient. Importantly, we also observed rejection of K$^+$ itself (Supplementary Fig. 11), consistent with the adsorption results. The order in which the graphene oxide is exposed to ions is important for efficient ion sieving. We further studied the effects of membrane thickness and salt concentration of the draw side solution (the draw side solution has a higher salt concentration than does the feed side solution, to generate the osmotic pressure gradient) (Supplementary Figs 12 and 13). Most GOMs can effectively sieve ions for about 5 h. Interestingly, when we used reduced GOMs (Supplementary Fig. 14), the KCl-controlled reduced GOMs showed stable performance for over 24 h.

We further performed an ab initio molecular dynamics simulation to illustrate the underlying physical mechanism taking place in these membranes, using Na$^+$ as an example. During the first 14 ps, the Na$^+$ moves from between two graphene oxide sheets to a position near the graphene oxide sheet (Supplementary Fig. 15), and then adsorbs at the regions where oxidized groups and aromatic rings coexist (Fig. 4a). Importantly, the lifetime of the hydrogen bonds belonging to the water molecules surrounding the Na$^+$ ion and participating in
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**Figure 4 | Theoretical computations for cations between two graphene oxide sheets.** a. A snapshot of the ab initio molecular dynamics simulation at 27 ps. Spheres in cyan, white, pink and red represent carbon, hydrogen, oxygen atoms in the oxygen functional group, and oxygen atoms in water molecules, respectively. Blue and yellow spheres represent Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$. Water molecules surrounding the Na$^+$ ion and participating in the connection between the oxygen functional groups of the two GOMs are highlighted. Red helices represent the hydrogen bonds connecting these water molecules to each other and to the oxygen functional groups of the two GOMs. b. The interaction energy between hydrated cations and graphene oxide sheets (labelled cation-(H$_2$O)$_n$@GO), and the hydration energy of the cation (labelled cation-(H$_2$O)$_0$). c–e. The most stable optimized geometries of cation-(H$_2$O)$_n$@GO clusters from density functional theory computation, where the cations are Na$^+$ (c), K$^+$ (d) and Li$^+$ (e). The transparent red area is the van der Waals volume of the hydration water molecules, showing that K$^+$ has a larger volume (than the other two ions) when it is not surrounded by hydration water, and indicating a clear distortion of the hydrated structure of K$^+$ inside the graphene oxide sheets.
the connection with the oxygen functional groups in the two GOMs (highlighted in Fig. 4a) was approximately 30 times the lifetime of the hydrogen bond in pure water, indicating that hydrated Na\(^+\) binds the graphene oxide sheets through a stable hydrogen-bond network.

Density functional theory computation further confirmed that the most stable adsorption position for the cations K\(^+\), Na\(^+\) and Li\(^+\) was the region where oxidized groups and aromatic rings coexist (Fig. 4c–e). Molecular orbital analysis clearly revealed coupling between the lone pair of electrons of the oxygen atoms in the oxygen functional groups and the delocalized π states of the aromatic ring structure in graphene oxide and the empty orbitals of the cation (Supplementary Fig. 16B). This indicated that the fixing of the interlayer distances was mainly due to the interaction between the hydrated cations and aromatic rings (cation–π interactions\(^{31}\)) on the graphene oxide sheet, as well as the interaction between the hydrated cations and the oxidized groups on the graphene oxide sheet. The existence of these interactions has been confirmed by ultraviolet absorption spectral and X-ray absorption fine structure measurement experiments we performed (Supplementary Figs 17 and 18).

It was surprising that K\(^+\)-controlled GOMs rejected K\(^+\) itself. However, our computation revealed that, for K\(^+\) only, the interaction energy between the hydrated cation and graphene oxide sheets was comparable to the cation's hydration energy (Fig. 4b). This suggests that the K\(^+\) hydration structure is unstable when the hydrated K\(^+\) enters the space between graphene oxide sheets. Figure 4d shows a clear distortion of the hydrated structure of K\(^+\) inside the graphene oxide sheets, narrowing the interlayer spacing. This makes it difficult for other hydrated K\(^+\) ions to enter the space between graphene oxide sheets.

In summary, we have experimentally achieved facile and precise control of the interlayer spacing in GOMs, with a precision of down to 1 Å, and corresponding ion rejection, through the addition of one kind of cation. This method is based on our understanding of the strong noncovalent hydrated cation–π interactions between hydrated cations and the aromatic ring, and its production is scalable. We note that our previous density functional theory computations show that other cations (Fe\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\)) have a much stronger cation–π interaction with the graphene sheet\(^{26}\), suggesting that other ions could be used to produce a wider range of interlayer spacings. Overall, our findings represent a step towards graphene-oxide-based molecular sieving.
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